

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE PROCUREMENT
OF PERCENTAGE OF INCOME PAYMENT
PLAN PROGRAM GENERATION FOR
CUSTOMERS OF THE DAYTON POWER
AND LIGHT COMPANY D/B/A AES OHIO.

CASE NO. 24-362-EL-UNC

FINDING AND ORDER

Entered in the Journal on April 29, 2026

I. SUMMARY

{¶ 1} The Commission accepts the results from the competitive auction to procure generation supply for percentage of income payment plan program customers of The Dayton Power and Light Company d/b/a AES Ohio.

II. DISCUSSION

{¶ 2} The Dayton Power and Light Company d/b/a AES Ohio (AES Ohio or the Company) is an electric distribution utility as defined in R.C. 4928.01(A)(6) and public utility as defined in R.C. 4905.02 and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

{¶ 3} R.C. 4928.54 requires the Commission to aggregate percentage of income payment plan (PIPP) program customers for the purpose of establishing a competitive procurement process for the supply of competitive retail electric service for those customers, which shall be an auction. Additionally, under R.C. 4928.544, the Commission shall design, manage, and supervise the competitive procurement process.¹

¹ R.C. 4928.544 also provides that, “[t]o the extent reasonably possible, and to minimize costs, the process may be designed based on any existing competitive procurement process for the establishment of the default generation supply price for electric distribution utilities.

{¶ 4} By Order issued on March 2, 2016, in Case No. 16-247-EL-UNC, the Commission adopted a competitive request for proposal (RFP) auction process to procure generation supply to serve PIPP load. The first phase of the competitive RFP process consists of an initial RFP auction during which certified competitive retail electric service (CRES) providers submit bids to serve the PIPP load for less than the standard service offer (SSO). If no CRES provider submits a bid to serve the PIPP load for less than the SSO, then a supplemental PIPP auction is conducted where CRES providers may submit bids to serve the PIPP load at any price. Finally, if no CRES provider participates in either RFP auction, the electric utility may implement contingency measures to procure supply for the PIPP load. The Commission found that this process satisfies the requirements in R.C. 4928.542 to ensure reliable electric service to PIPP customers, reduce the cost of the PIPP program relative to otherwise applicable SSO, and results in the best value for persons paying the rider associated with the recovery of such costs. *In re Implementation of Sections 4928.54 and 4928.544 of the Revised Code*, Case No. 16-247-EL-UNC, Finding and Order (Mar. 2, 2016) (RFP Auction Order).

{¶ 5} AES Ohio, in consultation with Staff and the independent auction manager, CRA International Inc. (CRA), accepted bids for a competitive RFP auction on April 28, 2026. The competitive RFP auction procured supply for 100 percent of the PIPP load for the June 1, 2026, to May 31, 2027, delivery period.

{¶ 6} CRA and Bates White Economic Consulting (Bates White), a consultant retained by the Commission to monitor the RFP auction, submitted reports regarding the April 28, 2026 auction. These reports consisted of both the confidential versions, filed under seal, and the redacted versions of the reports filed by CRA, which are publicly available in this docket. In the reports, CRA and Bates White each recommend that the Commission find that the RFP auction, within the limits of its structures, had sufficient competitive attributes and resulted in a winning price that is reasonable.

{¶ 7} The Commission finds that the reports filed by CRA and Bates White do not contain any recommendation or evidence that the auction violated the RFP auction bidding rules in such a manner as to invalidate the auction. Further, the Commission finds that the competitive RFP auction process followed the process adopted by the Commission in the RFP Auction Order and met the requirements of R.C. 4928.542. Accordingly, the Commission will not reject the results of the competitive RFP auction.

{¶ 8} In relation to the confidential reports filed by CRA and Bates White, Staff filed a motion for protective order pursuant to Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-24. The motion requests that the Bates White report regarding the auction be kept confidential and seeks identical treatment for the notification of auction results filed by CRA. In support of the motion, Staff states that the documents are highly competitively sensitive because they identify details of various bids and parties making the bids in the auction. Staff submits that disclosure of the information would be highly prejudicial to the bidding parties and the viability of any future auction in Ohio.

{¶ 9} Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-24 provides that, unless otherwise ordered, protective orders issued pursuant to the rule automatically expire after 24 months. However, given the highly competitive nature of the reports filed by CRA and Bates White, the Commission finds that sensitive information contained within them should be deemed confidential and remain under seal indefinitely, or until otherwise ordered by the Commission. Accordingly, we find that the motion for protective order filed by Staff should be granted to the extent stated in this Finding and Order. Unless and until the Commission orders otherwise, the Bates White report will be protected from public release. Further, the Commission finds that the names of unsuccessful bidders and the price of unsuccessful bids should be protected from public release indefinitely.

{¶ 10} However, the Commission finds that certain information regarding the competitive RFP auction contained in the report submitted by CRA should be released to the public after a brief period of time to allow the winning bidder to procure any additional

necessary capacity to serve the PIPP load. Accordingly, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, the Commission finds that the redacted report filed by CRA detailing the competitive RFP auction proceeding shall be subject to public release 21 days after the issuance of this Finding and Order.

{¶ 11} Finally, the Commission finds that the winning bidder and winning bid price shall remain confidential until ordered by the Commission.

III. ORDER

{¶ 12} It is, therefore,

{¶ 13} ORDERED, That the information set forth in Paragraph 9 be deemed confidential and remain under seal indefinitely or until otherwise ordered by the Commission. It is, further,

{¶ 14} ORDERED, That, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, the information set forth in Paragraph 10 be subject to public release 21 days after the issuance of this Finding and Order. It is, further,

{¶ 15} ORDERED, That the information set forth in Paragraph 11 remain confidential until ordered by the Commission. It is, further,

{¶ 16} ORDERED, That AES Ohio is authorized to file tariffs, in final form, consistent with this Finding and Order. The Company shall file one copy in this case docket and one copy in its TRF docket. It is, further,

{¶ 17} ORDERED, That the effective date of the new tariffs shall be June 1, 2026, contingent upon final review by the Commission. It is, further,

{¶ 18} ORDERED, That a copy of this Finding and Order be served upon all parties of record.

COMMISSIONERS:

Approving:

Jenifer French, Chair
Daniel R. Conway
Lawrence K. Friedeman
Dennis P. Deters
John D. Williams

IMM/dr

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

4/29/2026 3:38:02 PM

in

Case No(s). 24-0362-EL-UNC

Summary: Finding & Order accepting the results from the competitive auction to procure generation supply for percentage of income payment plan program customers of The Dayton Power and Light Company d/b/a AES Ohio. electronically filed by Ms. Mary E. Fischer on behalf of Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.